

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application is requested in view of the above amendments and the following remarks. Claims 1-7 are pending. Claim 7 has been amended to include the air passage limitation found in claim 1.

Claim 7 was rejected as anticipated by Adachi. Favorable reconsideration is requested. Adachi fails to teach a manufacturing method making use of a substrate that includes an air passage formed as a groove having a labyrinth structure that causes a variation of a flow rate of air passing through the air passage depending on a location along the air passage. Therefore the rejection should be withdrawn. Applicants are not conceding the correctness of the rejection.

In view of the above, Applicants request reconsideration of the application in the form of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMRE, SCHUMANN,
MUELLER & LARSON, P.C.
P.O. Box 2902
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0902
Phone: 612-455-3800

Date: January 20, 2009

By _____
Name: Douglas P. Mueller
Reg. No. 30,300
Customer No. 53148